(SMT. LEELAVATI VENKATESH MAGADI)

operative Society, Kodibag, one society is already working in the area of operation and since they are weavers under Master Weavers without having tools and equipment, the matter is being considered to expand activities of the existing society, so as to include these wage earners also. (iii) As regards the registration of the Soap and Oil Industries Co-operative Society, Ankola, the promoters are merchants and industrialists and the wage earners are not included among the members. Promoters are advised to take workers as members. Their consent is still awaited. (iv) As regards the registration of the Oil Pressers Co-operative Society, Kumta, initial share capital collection is only Rs. 162 and promoters are advised to collect at least Rs. 500 so that without side borrowing they may work economically.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಈಚೆಗೆ ನಡೆದಿರುವ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಗದಗಿನ ಕುರಿ ಸಂಗ್ರೋಪನ ಹಾಗೂ ಉಣ್ಣೆ ಉತ್ಪಾದಕ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು 4-4-1958ರಲ್ಲಿ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ; ಕೋಡಿಬಾಗಿನ ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು 12-8-1957ರಲ್ಲಿ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ; ಅಂಕೋಲದ ಸೋಪ್ ಆಯಿಲ್ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಘದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನೂ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಮಟದ ಆಯಿಲ್ ಪ್ರೆಸರ್ಸ್ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ 21-1-1958ರಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರ್‌ಕೋಟಿ.—ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಸಂಘದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರ ಅಥವ ರದ್ದುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಇದೇ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಸಂಘದವರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲೆಂದು ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರ್‌ಕೋಟಿ.—ಗದಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಣ್ಣೆಯ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರ್‌ಕೋಟಿ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಏಕೆ ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡಲಾಯ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಎರಡೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಎರಡಕ್ಕೂ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರ್‌ಕೋಟಿ.—ಎರಡು ಸಂಘಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ)

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Would the Government give instructions to the first society to take the applicants for the second society as members in the first society?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Officer transferred from Education Department to Labour Department.

Q.—1727. Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether an officer from the Education Department was taken to the Labour Department as a Deputy Director for Craftsmen Training recently;

(*b*) the qualification and the community to which he belongs?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(*a*) Yes, from the Department of Technical Education.

(*b*) (i) B.Sc., I Class, Mysore University.

B.Sc. (Tech.), post graduate degree, Madras University. Has worked as the Head of the Occupational Institute for eight years.

(ii) Lingayet.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Is it not a fact that the post was created on the advice of the Central Government?

*Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—May I know the total service this officer has put in the Labour Department or in the Education Department?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The number of years of service he has put in the Occupational Institute is eight years.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—May I know the total number of years he has put in?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not aware of it.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that the Commissioner of Labour and the Director have recommended an Assistant Director?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The Commissioner of Labour wanted one

Sri Muniyappa but the Director of Technical Education was unable to spare his services and he recommended the present incumbent.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—May I know the salarly he was drawing before he was appointed ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—He will draw his own salary in grade Rs. 250-600 and gets ten per cent allowance.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—When was this officer appointed to this new post ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I have not got the exat date.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What were the reasons for the Director of Technical Education to decline to spare the services of the person who was recommended ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Because the Director of Technical Education said that he was needed for the Occupational Institute, Mysore.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Would it not have been possible to find out another person for that post in the Occupational Institute, Mysore?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Probably it would have been possible.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What is the salary given to the Deputy Director of Craftsmen ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I do not know.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಲೇಬರ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಇಂಥವರೇ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೇಳಿದರೋ ಆ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ನೆರವೇರದೆ, ಬೇಡವಾದವರನ್ನು ಲೇಬರ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ತೊಂದರೆ ಆಗಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ. ಬೇಡವಾದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಆದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ತೊಂದರೆಯೂ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. He is also sufficiently qualified.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Then, why the present incumbent was spared and not the other person who was working in the Occupational Institute, Mysore ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In all these things we are advised and guided by technical advice. In this case we were advised to select the present incumbent by the Director of Technical Education.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Are the qualifications of the present incumbent such as to meet the requirements of the technical institution which he is required to man ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—What are the qualifications prescribed by the Central Government for the post of Deputy Director of Craftsmen ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There is no special qualification prescribed. He must be fit enough and must have put in some service in some department.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Has this new appointment overlooked the case of Sri Muniyappa who had also made a representation in this regard ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We have had no representation from Sri Muniyappa in this regard.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Is it not a fact that the Director of Commerce has recommended one of the Assistant Directors for this post of Deputy Director of Craftsmen ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No.

Temples belonging to Muzrai Department in Channagiri Taluk.

Q.—1815. Sri KUNDUR RUDRAPPA (Channagiri).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of temples belonging to the Muzrai Department in Channagiri Taluk ;

(*b*) out of them, the number of minor and major temples ; (villagewise information to be furnished) ;

(*c*) the number of temples which are in good condition ;

(*d*) the number of temples which are in need of repairs; (villagewise information to be furnised) ;

(*e*) the number of applications received from the public requesting for immediate repairs to temples which are in disrepair (villagewise information to be furnished) ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) 189.

(*b*) Major temples—2, Minor temples—30 (*Vide* statement 'A' appended).

(*c*) 146.